

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/578,204	05/04/2006	Jeroen Gijzen	US030417	2760		
24737 PHILIPS INTI	7590 07/09/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3001			CARTER, WIL	CARTER, WILLIAM JOSEPH		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2875			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			07/09/2008	ELECTRONIC		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/578,204	GIJZEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
WILLIAM J. CARTER	2875	

	WILLIAM J. CARTER	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request		
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of firm may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
NOTICE OF APPEAL					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or			ne issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (	DTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Americanient (	102-324).		
<ol> <li>Applicant's reprivate overcome the bloowing rejection(s).</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s)</li> <li>would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment or non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>					
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	hefore or on the date of filing a No	tice of Anneal will no	he entered		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	il and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).		
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:		
<ul> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>13.  Other:</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
/Sandra L. O'Shea/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2875					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As seen in Figs. 1, 2, and 4 air conducting walls (28) have an outer curved lip that is "extending in a direction around the upper rim of the reflector. The vortex shown in Fig. 4 is created by walls 28, which are above the reflector. Therefore Basey is "creating a vortex and introduces the vortex tangentially into the opening (Figs. 2 and 4).